प्रदान किया गया कुल मिलाकर, इस बैठक में आधारभूत संरचना के क्षेत्र में देश में उपलब्ध निवेश के अवसरों के संबंध में इच्छुक प्राइवेट निवेशकों के हितों की पुष्टि की है। यद्यपि बैठक में ऋण देने के कार्यक्रम के ब्यौरे पर बातचीत नहीं की गई फिर भी इस प्रकार के ब्यौरों पर दाता संस्थाओं के साथ अलग से बातचीत की जाएगी।

SEBI Report on Reliance Shares

1179. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA:
SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the report submitted to the Ministry by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has revealed numerous acts of omission and commission by the major players in the Reliance share switch and duplication controversies; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and what action has been taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DR. DEBIPROSAD PAL): (a) and (b) The Interim Reports submitted by SEBI on inspection of Reliance Consultancy Services Limited (RCS), the Registrar and Share Transfer Agents for Reliance Industries Limited (RIL), on issue of duplicate shares, and on the inspection of the Stock Holding Corporation of India Limited, Citibank N.A., and other custodians on the "Switching" of shares of RIL held by the custodians have, inter alia, revealed certain lapses and irregularities. Some of the main findings as contained in the Interim Reports are given in the statement (*see* below). The Department of Company Affairs and Securities and Exchange Board of India have initiated appropriate follow-up action for dealing with the lapses and irregularities as contained in the Interim Reports under the provisions of Companies act, 1956, SEBI Act, 1992 and Rules and Regulations under SEBI Act. The inspections are still continuing and accordingly the final Reports are awaited.

Statement

Some of the main findings as contained in the Interim Reports referred to in reply to parts (a) and (b) of the Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1179 for 12th March, 1996.

(i) The interim inspection report has revealed that some duplicate share certificates had been issued while the original share certificates were in existence which fact was very much in the knowledge of RCS.

(ii) The inspection report also reveals that the provisions of Section 113 of the Companies Act may have been violated.

(iii) The interim inspection report also reveals that the Company has carried out reversal of the entries whereby there has been rectification of the register of members. It appears that the provisions of Section 111 (4) (a) of the Companies Act have been violated.

(iv) It is seen from the submissions of custodians that 67.30 lakh shares of RIL were switched. In respect of the above shares, it was noted that when the shares were lodged for transfer by the custodians on behalf of their clients with RCS, the shares received back after transfer from RCS were different, with different distinctive numbers (DNRs) and/or transferors' names. However, from the submission of RCS, the total number of switched shares stood at 70.30 lakhs.

(v) Unit Trust of India purchased a lot of 24 lakh shares of RIL through M/s. L.K. Pandey on December 2, 1991. UTI also purchased another lot of 30 lakh shares of RIL through him on May 22, 1992. Out of the first lot of 24 lakh shares, 16.90 lakh shares were switched.

As regards the second lot of 30 lakh shares, all the shares were switched.

(vi) For the lot of 22 lakh shares that were lodged for transfer by SHCIL, there were inordinate delays at every stage of processing of these shares by SHCIL from the date of receipt of these shares from the broker, their lodgement with RCS, and their processing after receipt from RCS.

(vii) There was also an inordinate delay in transfer by RCS. There was also a significant delay between the stated date of transfer by RCS of RIL shares and the date of despatch by RCS of the transferred shares to SHCIL.

Bank Fraud Cases

1180. SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN:
SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to refer to the answer to unstarred Question 257 given in the Rajya Sabha on March 14, 1995 and state:

(a) what are the details of Bank fraud cases which have come to Government's notice during the year 1995 and so far in 1996 and the amount and the names of Bank officials involved in each case (Bank-wise);

(b) what action Government have so far taken against the Bank officials and other involved in such fraudulent transactions; and

(c) what action Government have so far taken against the Bank officials involved in fraud cases mentioned in the answer to Unstarred Question 257 referred to above?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DR. DEBIPROSAD PAL): (a) The bank-wise number of frauds and amounts involved reported to RBI by public sector banks during 1995 (upto 30th September) are given in the Statement (*see* below). Under the present reporting system the RBI do not compile information giving names of officials involved in each case.

(b) Under the existing instructions all cases of frauds committed by insiders except cases involving upto Rs. 25,000/- in public sector banks (where recovery is not doubtful) are required to be reported to police/CBI. The banks are required to segregate those charges not covered by the CBI/Police investigations for initiating departmental enquiry and take disciplinary action without waiting for the outcome of the CBI/police proceedings.

(c) In the case relating to sanction of credit facilities to M/s. Dream City Builders (P) Ltd., the CBI has found the former CMD, former ED and one Ex-branch Manager of Erstwhile New Bank of India responsible and had filed chargesheets against them as well as the directors of the company in the Court at Delhi. The above 3 bank officials have already been dismissed from the bank's service in other cases.

Statement

Number of frauds and amount involved in public sector banks as reported to RBI during 1995 (upto 30th September)

Name of the Bank	No. of frauds	Amount Involved (Rs. In lakhs)
State Bank of India	409	653.85
State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur	16	56.47
State Bank of Hyderabad	36	147.96